P.R. No. 211/06
Presented by Shri R.D. Sharma
dated 25/1/06

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

M.C.C.NO. 42 OF 2006 (D.B.)

(ARISING OUT OF W.P.NO. 3817/2005) Division Bench

PETITIONER : Dinkar Rao Varetwar, aged about 49 years, Son of Late Shri Ganpat Rao, Resident of C/-1, New Adarsh Nagar, Durg, Occupation-Agriculture and Social Worker, Tahsil and District Durg (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Union of India, Through: The Secretary, Environment and Forest Department, Ministry, Lodhi Road, Paryavaran Bhawan, C.G.O. Complex, Government of India, New Delhi.

2. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Forest Department, Ministry, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

3. Principal, Chief Conservator, Government of Chhattisgarh, Aranya Bhawan, Jail Road, Raipur (C.G.).

4. State of Madhya Pradesh, Through: The Secretary, Forest Department Ministry, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.).

5. Inspector General of Police, Special Police Establishment, Lokayukta Police, Bhopal (M.P.).

6. Haresh Chandra Tiwari, At present posted as Conservator Forest, at Principal Chief Conservator, Forest, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY 25.1.06 A. G. BILASPUR
R/C CLERK to
25-1-06
for THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

APPLICATION FOR RETURN OF SECURITY AMOUNT RS. 2000/- DEPOSITED ON 10.08.2005, VIDE RECEIPT NO. 93782 IN W.P.NO. 3817/2005

On behalf of the petitioner, it is respectfully submitted:-

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... M.C.C. 42/ ..... **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Division Bench: - Hon'ble Mr. L.C. Bhadoo and Hon'ble Mr. Dhirendra Mishra, JJ. 27-1-2006 Mr. Uttam Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Mrs. B.R. Maity, Advocate appears on behalf of Mr. S.K. Beriwal, Central Government Counsel for respondent No.1. Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.2 & 3. Heard. W.P.No.3817/2005 has been dismissed vide order dated 24-10-2005 after hearing counsel for the parties and that was dismissed on merit as the sanction was already issued. Therefore, we do not find any substance in this petition for refund of the security deposited by the petitioner. The petition is accordingly dismissed, in limine. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge** **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	